



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : C11D 17/00	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 97/45525 (43) International Publication Date: 4 December 1997 (04.12.97)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/EP97/01624 (22) International Filing Date: 29 March 1997 (29.03.97) (30) Priority Data: 9610966.5 24 May 1996 (24.05.96) GB (71) Applicant (for AU BB CA GB IE IL KE LC LK LS MN MW NZ SD SG SZ TT UG only): UNILEVER PLC [GB/GB]; Unilever House, Blackfriars, London EC4P 4BQ (GB). (71) Applicant (for all designated States except AU BB CA GB IE IL KE LC LK LS MN MW NZ SD SG SZ TT UG): UNILEVER N.V. [NL/NL]; Weena 455, NL-3013 AL Rotterdam (NL). (72) Inventors: GOEL, Satish, Kumar; 1A Agrasar, Hindustan Lever Research Centre Flats, ICT Link Road, Chakala, Andheri East, Mumbai 400 099, Maharashtra (IN). SANKHOLKAR, Devadatta, Shivaji; 1B Anusandhan, Hindustan Lever Research Centre Flats, ICT Link Road, Chakala, Andheri East, Mumbai 400 099, Maharashtra (IN). (74) Agent: ELLIOTT, Peter, William; Unilever plc, Patent Di- vision, Colworth House, Sharnbrook, Bedford MK44 1LQ (GB).		(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, HU, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ARIPO patent (GH, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). Published <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the</i> <i>claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of</i> <i>amendments.</i>
(54) Title: SYSTEM FOR DELIVERY OF FUNCTION INGREDIENTS (57) Abstract A novel system for enhanced delivery of functional ingredients, particularly of benefit agents used in detergent/soap compositions, comprises a synergistic oil-in-water type emulsion with an internal oil phase of said benefit agent and an external water phase thickened with cationic polymer.		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece			TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia			SG	Singapore		

SYSTEM FOR DELIVERY OF FUNCTION INGREDIENTS

5 The present invention relates to a novel system for enhanced delivery of functional ingredients for use preferably in soap/detergent formulations. In particular, the invention is directed to enhancing the deposition of benefit agents such as organic sunscreens, emollients, humectants, antimicrobial agents and insect repellants onto skin, hair or other
10 substrates from soap/detergent based washing compositions.

It is presently known in the art to use natural polysaccharide type polymers modified by adding cationic groups (for example, Jaguar, a registered trade name of Rhone
15 Poulenc for cationic derivative of guar gum) as well as synthetic cationic polymers in washing formulations for providing better skin and hair feel. There are several patents describing their use as conditioners in liquid or gel type products. For instance, US 4,061,602 (American
20 Cyanamide Co.) describes them as ingredients which add substance to hair and skin without building up after successive applications. EP 311,343 (P&G) suggests their use in solid soap bars for giving benefits related to mildness, scum-control and lather. The method of incorporation of
25 Jaguar into the final product in these cases is by way of adding the Jaguar powder directly with the other ingredients of the product and mixing it thoroughly. US 4,704,224 (P&G) describes a better method of incorporating Jaguar in soap bars which is by way of preparing a small adjunct where
30 Jaguar is dispersed in coconut fatty acid and neutralized separately before adding it to the rest of the soap. US 803742 (P&G) describes yet another method involving hydration of Jaguar with water prior to mixing it uniformly into the soap bars to give improved feel and mildness effect.

US 3,723,325 (P&G), South Africa 6805,954(P&G), EP 93,601 (Unilever), WO 9522311 (Unilever), WO 93EP2072 (Unilever) and GB 8212687 (Unilever) all describe the use of Jaguar and cationic polymers to enhance deposition of particulate solid benefit agents onto skin from washing compositions (mainly soap bars). WO 9403152 (Unilever) describes a similar application however, for deposition of silicone oil instead of solid particles. However, irrespective of the intended benefit agent used for delivery, all the above discussed known art methods are directed to the incorporation of Jaguar/cationic polymer by simply mixing it as powder in the formulation.

It is thus the basic objective of the present invention to provide for a novel system of enhanced delivery of functional ingredients for use in particular in soap/detergent bar formulations.

A further object of the present invention is to provide for suitable method(s) of incorporation of the system for enhanced delivery of the functional ingredient in soap/detergent bar formulations.

Yet a further object of the present invention is to provide synergistic soap/detergent bar formulations which would provide for improved functional ingredient delivery onto substrates on which they are applied thereby obtaining maximum effective value of the benefit agents used in such bar formulations.

Another object of the present invention is directed to provide for a soap/detergent bar formulations with enhanced benefit agent depositing properties in a form which would be convenient for the user.

Thus, according to one aspect, the present invention provides a system for enhanced delivery of benefit agent for use in detergent/soap bar formulations, the system comprising a synergistic oil-in-water type emulsion with an internal oil phase of said benefit agent and an external water phase thickened with cationic polymer.

The functional ingredient/benefit agent and the cationic polymer, when incorporated as said oil-in-water type emulsion in soaps/detergent based washing compositions, provide for enhanced deposition of the benefit agent such as organic sunscreen, Parsol MCX (a registered trade name of Givaudan Roure and chemically 2-ethyl-hexyl-methoxy cinnamate), Parsol 1789 (chemically known as butyl methoxy benzoylmethane) onto skin, hair and other substrate from soaps or detergent based washing compositions.

Suitable benefit agents which can be used in such emulsion system includes organic sun screen, emollients, humectants, antimicrobial agents and insect repellants.

The external water phase in the emulsion system is thickened by the addition of cationic polymers which includes JAGUAR (a tradename for cationic guar gum which is 2-hydroxy-3-(trimethylammonio)propyl guar gum). The cationic polymer can be used in the range of 0.25 to 5% and preferably 1-2% based on the external water phase.

Preferably the oil-in-water emulsion system typically has an internal oil phase of more than 30% and more preferably greater than 50% to give higher concentration of deposition of said benefit agent.

The oil-in-water type of emulsion system of the invention described above can be obtained as follows:

- a. Providing a solution of the cationic polymer in water;
- b. Mixing a suitable emulsifier preferably having HLB~4-10 with the selected benefit agent/functional ingredient.
- c. The mixture in (b) is next subjected to high shear mixing preferably in a Silverson mixer while the solution in (a) is added slowly to it, to thereby obtain the benefit agent as oil-in-water type emulsion system of the invention.

According to another aspect of the present invention is provided a detergent/soap/washing composition formulations having improved functional ingredient depositing characteristic by way of incorporation of the benefit agent in the form of said oil-in-water type emulsion system in such bar formulations.

In accordance with such aspect of the invention the benefit agent in the form of the oil-in-water emulsion system is incorporated in the basic soap/detergent composition formulation which is selected from:

anionic surfactants such as sodium or potassium salts of fatty acids of varying chain lengths (soaps), sodium linear alkyl benzene sulphonate, alpha olefin sulphonate, sodium lauryl ether sulphate and primary alkyl sulphates in the range of about 10 to 80%;

non-ionic surfactants such as alkyl alcohol ethoxylates in the range of about 2 to 20%; and other surfactants such as cationic betaines in the range of about 2 to 10%;

particulate minerals such as clays, talc and calcite in the range of about 0 to 40%;

soda ash in the range of about 0 to 10%;

builders such as sodium phosphates or zeolites in the range of about 0 to 20%;

binders such as alkaline sodium silicate in the range of about 0 to 5%; or

other optional minor ingredients such as perfumes, bleaches, optical brighteners and enzymes; or mixtures thereof.

The benefit agents used include organic sunscreens, emollients, humectants, antimicrobial agents and insect repellants is in the range of about 0.1 to 5%. The benefit agent in the range of 0.1-5% is provided in the form of the oil-in-water emulsion in said bar formulation to achieve improved functional ingredient deposition characteristics in such bar formulations.

According to yet further aspect of the present invention the same is directed to providing for suitable methods of incorporation of the benefit agent/functional ingredients in the form of the oil-in-water type emulsion system of the invention in product bar formulation such as soaps/detergent bars/washing compositions to obtain effective deposition of the functional ingredients/benefit agent onto substrates on which such products (bar formulations) are applied.

In particular, the invention thus further proposes incorporation of the oil-in-water type emulsion system containing the benefit agent into the final product in the form of any one or more of the following:

i) discrete domains of the emulsion (equivalently of the benefit agent since the emulsion has high concentration of the benefit agent);

5 ii) adding of the benefit agent in the form of the emulsion as stripes on the extruded soap bars preferably by injection facility on the plodder; and

10 iii) filling in the benefit agent in the form of the emulsion in a separate zone of a divided tube dispenser such that the benefit agent in the form of said emulsion flows out as stripes adhering to the main ribbon of a gel product as the tube is
15 squeezed.

The nature of the present invention, its objectives and advantages will be further apparent from the ensuing description made with relation to non-limiting exemplary
20 modes of obtaining the benefit agent in the form of oil-in-water type emulsion system of the invention and its incorporation in exemplary bar formulations as discussed hereunder.

25 EXAMPLE 1

(A) Preparation of emulsion

In this example, 1% solution of Jaguar in water was first
30 prepared using an overhead stirrer. An emulsifier (Tween 60) having HLB-10 was mixed with a combination of benefit agents comprising Parsol MCX with Parsol 1789 (80:20 proportions).

This mixture of sunscreen with the emulsifier was next
35 subjected to high shear mixing using a Silverson mixer while

the Jaguar solution was added slowly to it. Mixing was continued for about 5 minutes after complete addition of Jaguar solution to homogenise the emulsion. The composition of the emulsion was Parsol mix: 55.6%, Tween 60: 7.5%, and 1% Jaguar solution: 36.9%.

(B) Incorporation of oil-in-water emulsion of (A) above, into the product - by way of incorporation of the benefit agent in the form of speckled bars.

The emulsion system thus obtained in step (A) above was incorporated at 1.8% into a soap base comprising 30% coconut oil fatty acid salt, the balance being the salts of distilled fatty acids derived from a mixture of oils (eg. rice bran/palm) of moisture content 13%. The emulsion was incorporated into the product by very light blending of the emulsion with soap base in a ribbon mixer for just less than a minute followed by compaction of mix under pressure.

The level of incorporation of emulsion was 3.6% and the average level of Parsol mix in the product was 2%.

EXAMPLE II

(A) Preparation of the emulsion

In this example, 1% solution of Jaguar in water was first prepared using an overhead stirrer. An emulsifier (Tween 60) having HLB-10 was mixed with a combination of benefit agents comprising Parsol MCX with Parsol 1789 (80:20 proportions).

This mixture of sunscreen with the emulsifier was next subjected to high shear mixing using a Silverson mixer while the Jaguar solution was added slowly to it. Mixing was continued for about 5 minutes after complete addition of

Jaguar solution homogenise the emulsion. The composition of the emulsion was the same as in (A) of Example I.

(B) Incorporation of oil-in-water emulsion of (A) above into the product - by way of incorporation of the benefit agent in the form of striped bars.

The oil-in-water type emulsion system of (A) above was next incorporated into the product bar formulation similar to that of Example I in the form of stripes by die injection technique so that the average level of Parsol mix in the product was 2.5%.

EXAMPLE III

Control bar formulation

In this example, a control bar formulation was made from the soap base containing 30% coconut oil fatty acid salt, the balance being the salts of distilled fatty acids derived from a mixture of oils (e.g., rice bran/palm) of moisture content of 13% without incorporation of any Parsol mix.

The deposition of Parsol onto the skin in use of the bar formulations of the invention (Examples I and II) and the control bar formulation (Example III) was estimated.

The estimation of Parsol deposition was carried out on 9 panelists following the under-mentioned procedure:

Twenty 'to and fro' rubs of the bar were given on prewashed inner forearm, the product was rubbed with the other hand to generate lather for 10 seconds, followed by rinsing, dab drying, and extraction with 400 micro liters of alcohol from 9 cm². The results of a comparative study of deposition from

bar formulations according to Examples I to II vis-a-vis the control bar formulation III are provided hereunder in Tables I and II.

Comparative study of Parsol deposition from bar formulation according to Example I vis-a-vis Example III (control) is provided hereunder Table I.

Table I

Deposition of Parsol (Micrograms on 9cm ²)*		
Example I	Example III	Difference
3.07	2.30	0.77*

* Data are average of 9 values.

* Significant at 95% confidence.

The above Table I shows that with 95% statistical confidence Parsol MCX is deposited from the bar formulation (Example I) of the invention onto the skin to the extent of 0.77 ± 0.27 micrograms on 9 cm² (ie., at least 0.50 microgram/9cm²). Comparative study of Parsol deposition from bar formulation according to Example II vis-a-vis Example III (control) is provided hereunder in Table II.

Table II

Deposition of Parsol (Micrograms on 9cm ²)*		
Example II	Example III	Difference
2.94	1.72	1.22*

* Data are average of 9 values.

* Significant at 95% confidence.

The above Table II shows that with 95% confidence Parsol MCX is deposited from the bar formulations (Example II) of the invention onto the skin to the extent of 1.22 ± 0.38 micrograms on 9 cm^2 (i.e., at least 0.84 microgram on 9 cm^2).

It is thus evident from the above results in Tables I and II that Parsol in water emulsions of satisfactory stability could be obtained wherein the aqueous phase is stabilized by cationic polymer such as Jaguar and that these emulsions could be used to generate macro domains of the benefit agent such as Parsol in soap/detergent bar formulations.

Importantly, a comparative study of Tables I and II further reveal that at 2% or more Parsol mix (80:20) a consistent and significant enhanced level of deposition on skin is achieved using the bar formulations (Example I and II) of the invention as compared to control formulation (Example III).

Product Assessment:

The product assessment of the bars having bar formulations according to Example I obtained by the process of the invention were next assessed vis-a-vis the control bar formulations according to Example III. The results are reproduced hereunder in Table III.

Table III

Product attribute	Example III Control	Example I (2% Parsol)	C.D.
Lather, soft	240	245	12.1
Lather, hard	193	191	14
% Wear	35.1	35.9	2.2
Mush	8.3	9.2	3.1

The above Table III clearly indicates that the product attributes of bar formulations according to Example I of the invention are comparable to the control bar formulation Example III.

5 It is thus clearly evident from the above disclosure that in the use of benefit agent in the form of oil-in-water emulsion system according to the invention in soap/detergent bar products in the manner proposed above, the deposition of
10 benefit agent onto the skin/other substrate is significantly enhanced. Further such enhanced deposition of the benefit agent can be achieved following the process of incorporation of the same in detergent/soap bar formulations according to the invention even at very low levels of use of cationic
15 polymer in combination with the benefit agent by way of the novel oil-in-water emulsion system of the invention.

CLAIMS

1. A system for enhanced delivery of benefit agent for use
in detergent/soap bar formulations, the system
comprising a synergistic oil-in-water emulsion with an
internal oil phase of said benefit agent and an external
water phase thickened with cationic polymer.
2. A system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the benefit is
an organic sun screen, an emollient, a humectant, an
antimicrobial agent or an insect repellant.
3. A system as claimed in either claim 1 or claim 2,
wherein the cationic polymer is present at from 0.25 to
5 %, and preferably 1 to 2%, based upon the external
water phase.
4. A system as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein said
internal oil phase is greater than 30%, and more
preferably greater than 50%.
5. A method of producing a system as claimed in any
preceding claim comprising the steps of:
 - a) providing a solution of said cationic polymer in
water;
 - b) mixing an emulsifier having HLB 4-10 with said
benefit agent; and
 - c) subjecting the mixture resulting from step b) to
high shed mixing, while adding the solution of step
a).
6. A detergent/soap composition incorporating a system as
claimed in any of claims 1 to 4.

7. A composition as claimed in claim 6, further comprising any of the following: -

5 anionic surfactants such as sodium or potassium salts of fatty acids of varying chain lengths (soaps), sodium linear alkyl benzene sulphonate, alpha olefin sulphonate, sodium lauryl ether sulphate and primary alkyl sulphates in the range of about 10 to 80%;

10 non-ionic surfactants such as alkyl alcohol ethoxylates in the range of about 2 to 20%; and other surfactants such as cationic betaines in the range of about 2 to 10%;

15 particulate minerals such as clays, talc and calcite in the range of about 0 to 40%;

soda ash in the range of about 0 to 10%;

20 builders such as sodium phosphates or zeolites in the range of about 0 to 20%;

25 binders such as alkaline sodium silicate in the range of about 0 to 5%; or

30 other optional minor ingredients such as perfumes, bleaches, optical brighteners and enzymes; or mixtures thereof.

8. A composition as claimed in either claim 6 or claim 7, wherein said benefit agent is incorporated in the form of discrete domains, stripes or within a separate zone of a divided dispenser.

9. Use of a system or composition as claimed in any preceding claim in the effective deposition of benefit agent onto substrates on which said systems/bars are applied.

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 6 C11D17/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
IPC 6 C11D

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 3 580 853 A (PARRAN JOHN J JR) 25 May 1971 see column 7, line 55 - column 9, line 34; examples ---	1-3,6,7, 9
A	EP 0 552 024 A (UNILEVER) 21 July 1993 see page 3, line 30 - page 4, line 55; claims 1-3,12-18; examples ---	1,2,5-7, 9
A	EP 0 093 601 A (UNILEVER NV) 9 November 1983 cited in the application see claims --- -/-	1-3,6,9



Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.



Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

5 November 1997

Date of mailing of the international search report

13.11.97

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Grittern, A

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5 250 652 A (LANGER MATTHEW E ET AL) 5 October 1993 see column 17, line 65 - column 18, line 43; examples 5-18 ---	1-4,6
A	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 010, no. 172 (C-354), 18 June 1986 & JP 61 022005 A (KOBAYASHI KOOSEE:KK), 30 January 1986, see abstract -----	1

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 3580853 A	25-05-71	AT 310905 B	15-09-73
		BE 721384 A	25-03-69
		CH 531041 A	30-11-72
		DE 1792618 A	25-11-71
		FR 1588952 A	16-03-70
		GB 1195158 A	17-06-70
		NL 6813826 A	31-03-69
		SE 353738 B	12-02-73
		US 3723325 A	27-03-73
		US 3753916 A	21-08-73
		US 3761417 A	25-09-73
		US 3761418 A	25-09-73
EP 0552024 A	21-07-93	AU 3181393 A	22-07-93
		AU 6562896 A	07-11-96
		BR 9300143 A	27-07-93
		CA 2087140 A	16-07-93
		JP 5279232 A	26-10-93
		ZA 9300269 A	15-07-94
EP 0093601 A	09-11-83	AU 546461 B	05-09-85
		AU 1398983 A	03-11-83
		BR 8302154 A	27-12-83
		CA 1203141 A	15-04-86
		DE 3374018 A	12-11-87
		GB 2122214 A,B	11-01-84
		JP 1319715 C	29-05-86
		JP 58196300 A	15-11-83
		JP 60046158 B	14-10-85
		US 5037818 A	06-08-91
US 5250652 A	05-10-93	AT 143395 T	15-10-96
		AU 4433793 A	03-02-94
		BR 9303007 A	15-03-94
		CA 2099625 A	31-01-94
		DE 69305031 D	31-10-96
		DE 69305031 T	13-02-97
		EP 0583888 A	23-02-94
		ES 2092772 T	01-12-96
		JP 6145538 A	24-05-94

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☒ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.